arXiv:1510.09111v1 [math.GT] 30 Oct 2015

THE KAUFFMAN SKEIN MODULE AT FIRST ORDER

JULIEN MARCHÉ

Abstract. For a 3-manifold M with boundary, we study the Kauffman module with indeterminate equal to $-1+\epsilon$ where $\epsilon^2=0$. We conjecture an explicit relation between this module and the Reidemeister torsion of M which we prove in particular cases. As a maybe useful tool, we then introduce a notion of twisted self-linking and prove that it satisfies the Kauffman relations at first order. These questions come from considerations on asymptotics of quantum invariants.

1. Introduction

Given a 3-manifold M, a ring R and an invertible element t in R, the Kauffman bracket skein module is the free R-module generated by isotopy classes of banded links in M modulo local relations depending on t [HP92]. When $R=\mathbb{C}$ and $t=-1$, the disjoint union operation makes it into the algebra of regular functions on the character variety of $\pi_1(M)$ into $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. When t is a root of unity (say of order $2k$), this module can be used to construct the Topological Quantum Field Theory with level k. The purpose of this article is to study specifically the case when $R=\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$ and $t=-1+\epsilon$: we call it the *derived skein module* of M. If $M=\Sigma\times[0,1]$, the derived Kauffman module is again an algebra, deforming the one with $\epsilon=0$: the deformation corresponds to the Goldman Poisson bracket as already observed in [Tu91]. If M is a 3-manifold with boundary, the derived Kauffman module is a module over the derived Kauffman algebra of the neighborhood of the boundary. We show in this article that this structure is described by a second order differential operator on the character variety of M (more precisely, on its restriction to the character variety of the boundary of M). We conjecture that this operator Υ is given by

$$\Upsilon(f)=-2\frac{L_{X_f}T}{T} \tag{1}$$

where f is a regular function on the character variety of ∂M vanishing on the restriction of characters of M, X_f is its Hamiltonian vector field and T is the (twisted) Reidemeister torsion. We may think of this operator as a kind of symplectic Laplacian. We prove this formula for a thickened surface and a handlebody. It can be also checked by hand for torus knots and for the figure eight knot [C11, CM15b].

This formula is a manifestation of a well-known conjectural fact: the asymptotics of quantum invariants of a 3-manifold M when the level k tends to infinity is governed at first order by the character variety of M, the Chern-Simons functional and the Reidemeister torsion. This fact was already known to E. Witten for the case of closed 3-manifolds: various generalizations of the volume conjecture for knots in S^3 predict the same kind of phenomena, see for instance [GM08, GL11]. In [CM15a, CM15b], the Witten conjecture for the expansion of the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants of the Dehn fillings of the figure eight knot was obtained by proving another version of the Witten conjecture for

the figure eight knot complement. One of the key technical inputs were an explicit differential equation relating the Reidemeister torsion to the q-differential equation satisfied by the colored Jones polynomial. This equation was called the transport equation of the Reidemeister torsion, following a standard terminology in semi-classical analysis: it is equivalent to Equation (1) in the case of knot complements.

The present article generalizes this transport equation for any manifold with boundary, using the beautiful relation between skein modules and q-differential equations discovered in [FGL02], see [Le14] for a review. The first section starts with a short motivation from quantum topology, then we state the conjecture and explain its relation with the quantum A-polynomial and the colored Jones polynomial. In the second section, we prove the formula in the case of thickened surfaces and handlebodies, assuming some familiarity with the symplectic structure on character varieties of surfaces. The last section presents the notion of twisted self-linking: this takes the form of a map Φ from the derived skein module of M to a space $\mathcal{H}(M,\rho)$ depending on a representation $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. For a knot K in M, the element $\Phi(K)$ may be thought of as the self-linking of K with coefficients in the adjoint representation Ad_ρ and at the same time as the Hessian at $[\rho]$ of the trace function f_K on the character variety mapping $[\rho]$ to $\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho(K)$. I believe that this notion should yield a proof of Equation (1).

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank L. Charles, R. Detcherry, M. Heusener T. Q. T. Lê and J. Porti for their kind interest relating these questions.

2. Motivations

2.1. **Witten expansion conjecture.** Let M be a closed oriented 3-manifold satisfying $H_1(M,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Suppose moreover that for any non-trivial (and hence irreducible) representation $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ we have $H^1(M,\mathrm{Ad}_\rho) = 0$. This is equivalent to the assumption that the following character variety is reduced and of dimension 0:

$$X(M) = \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(M), \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}))/\!\!/\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}).$$

The Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant of M with gauge group SU_2 and level k is a topological invariant of M denoted by $Z_k(M)$ which conjecturally satisfies the following expansion:

$$Z_k(M) = \sum_\rho e^{ik\,\mathrm{CS}(M,\rho)+\frac{i\pi}{4}I(M,\rho)}\sqrt{T(M,\rho)} + O(k^{-3/2})$$

In this formula, ρ runs over conjugacy classes of non trivial morphisms from $\pi_1(M)$ to SU_2 and $\mathrm{CS}(M,\rho) \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, $I(M,\rho) \in \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$ and $T(M,\rho) \in (0,\infty)$ are topological invariants called respectively the Chern-Simons invariant, the spectral flow and the Reidemeister torsion.

The WRT invariant can be extended to pairs (M,L) where L is a banded link in M, that is an oriented submanifold diffeomorphic to a disjoint union of annuli, see for instance [BHMV95]. As an invariant of L, it satisfies the celebrated Kauffman relations, that is if $L_\times, L_\infty, L_0$ differ as in Figure 1, then the invariants should satisfy the following equations where $\zeta_k = -e^{\frac{i\pi}{2k}}$:

$$Z_k(M,L_\times) = \zeta_k Z_k(M,L_\infty) + \zeta_k^{-1} Z_k(M,L_0).$$

Moreover, if $L \cup O$ is the union of L with a trivial banded knot, then one should have $Z_k(M,L\cup O) = (-\zeta_k^2 - \zeta_k^{-2})Z_k(M,L)$. This invariant was introduced by Witten using a path integral that we describe below.



FIGURE 1. Kauffman triple

Let $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M, \mathrm{su}_2)$ be a 1-form on M with values in the Lie algebra su_2. We may think of it as a connection on a trivial SU_2 principal bundle and introduce the Chern-Simons action of α as the integral

$$\mathrm{CS}(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_M \mathrm{Tr}(\alpha \wedge d\alpha + \frac{2}{3}\alpha \wedge [\alpha \wedge \alpha]).$$

Denoting by L_i the components of L and by $\mathrm{Hol}_{L_i}\alpha$ the holonomy of α along L_i, one can write the original path integral:

$$Z_k(M, L) = \int e^{ik\,\mathrm{CS}(\alpha)} \prod_i (-\operatorname{Tr}\mathrm{Hol}_{L_i}\alpha)\mathcal{D}\alpha.$$

The Witten conjecture comes from a formal stationary phase expansion in this path integral, observing that critical points of the Chern-Simons action are flat connections (i.e. satisfy $d\alpha + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha \wedge \alpha] = 0$) and gauge equivalence class of flat connections correspond to conjugacy class of representations in SU_2.

Let $Z_k(M, \rho) = e^{ik\,\mathrm{CS}(M,\rho)+\frac{i\pi}{4}I(M,\rho)}\sqrt{T(M,\rho)}$ be the term corresponding to ρ in the Witten expansion of $Z_k(M)$. If we add the link L, the term $Z_k(M, \rho)$ should be at 0-th order multiplied by the value $\chi_\rho(L) = \prod_i(-\operatorname{Tr}\rho(L_i))$. Let us denote by $\Phi_\rho(L)$ the first order term, that is such that the following expansion holds:

$$Z_k(M, L) = \sum_\rho Z_k(M, \rho)\left(\chi_\rho(L) + \frac{i\pi}{2k}\Phi_\rho(L)\right) + O(k^{-3/2})$$

Factorizing $Z_k(M, \rho)$, we guess that the Kauffman relations should yield:

$$\Phi_\rho(L_\times) + \Phi_\rho(L_\infty) + \Phi_\rho(L_0) = -\chi_\rho(L_\infty) + \chi_\rho(L_0) \text{ and } \Phi_\rho(L \cup O) = -2\Phi_\rho(L). \tag{2}$$

One of the goal of this article is to construct rigorously such an invariant. Heuristically, it should be defined as a contraction of the Hessian of the function $\alpha \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}\mathrm{Hol}_\gamma\,\alpha$ with the inverse Hessian of the Chern-Simon action. We did not try to make sense of such a contraction although it was the source of inspiration of the more formal construction developed in Section 4.

2.2. **Differential geometry on the character variety.** Let R be a ring and t be an invertible element in R. The Kauffman skein module $\mathcal{S}(M, R, t)$ of a compact connected 3-manifold M (maybe with boundary) is defined as the free R-module generated by isotopy classes of banded links in M (including the empty link) modulo the Kauffman relations $[L_\times] - t[L_\infty] - t^{-1}[L_0]$ and $[L \cup O] + (t^2 + t^{-2})[L]$.

If $R = \mathbb{C}$ and $t = -1$, the disjoint union makes $\mathcal{S}(M, \mathbb{C}, -1)$ into an algebra, isomorphic to the algebra of regular functions on the character variety $X(M)$, see [M15] for a review. We will be concerned in this article with the *derived skein module* which consists in setting $R = \mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$ and $t = -1 + \epsilon$. For short, we will denote the first algebra by $\mathcal{S}(M)$ and the derived skein module by $\mathcal{S}'(M)$. These spaces fit into the following complex:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(M) \xrightarrow{\times\epsilon} \mathcal{S}'(M) \xrightarrow{\text{mod } \epsilon} \mathcal{S}(M) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3}$$

Question 2.1. *Is this complex always exact? If so, we will say that M is* regular.

If M is diffeomorphic to a thickened surface of the form $\Sigma\times[0,1]$, then stacking a banded link over the other makes $\mathcal{S}(M,R,t)$ into an algebra, commutative if $t^2=1$. Moreover, projecting a link on Σ and recursively solving the crossings show that the *multicurves* of Σ - that is embedded 1-manifold without components bounding a disc - form a basis of $\mathcal{S}(M,R,t)$. This gives in particular a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{S}'(M)\simeq\mathcal{S}(M)\otimes\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$, making the complex of equation (3) exact. However, one should be careful that the preceding isomorphism is not an isomorphism of algebras as one has for $f+\epsilon f'$ and $g+\epsilon g'$ in $\mathcal{S}(M)$ the following identity:

$$(f+\epsilon f')\cdot(g+\epsilon g') = fg+\epsilon(fg'+f'g+\frac{1}{2}\{f,g\}) \tag{4}$$

where $\{f,g\}$ is the Goldman Poisson bracket [BFK-B].

This formula shows that the derived skein module of a thickened surface $\Sigma\times[0,1]$ reflects the Poisson structure of the character variety $X(\Sigma)$, so that one is naturally leaded to ask whether the derived skein module of a manifold M with boundary Σ contains some geometric structure related to the character variety $X(M)$. Having very little structure, the derived skein module $\mathcal{S}'(M)$ is by itself no much information, however, we will exploit the relation between the derived skein module of M and the one of a neighborhood of its boundary.

Let M be a 3-manifold with boundary Σ and denote by BM a tubular neighborhood of Σ, hence homeomorphic to a thickened surface. The inclusion map $i:BM\to M$ induces a map $i_*:\mathcal{S}(BM)\to\mathcal{S}(M)$. Its kernel is an ideal $\mathcal{P}$ corresponding to a closed submanifold $Y\subset X(\Sigma)$. Standard arguments of algebraic geometry show that Y is the Zariski closure of $r(X(M))\subset X(\Sigma)$ where r is the restriction map induced by i_*. For instance if M has toric boundary, $\mathcal{P}$ is generated by the celebrated A-polynomial. Moreover, Poincaré duality implies that Y is a Lagrangian submanifold of $X(\Sigma)$. In algebraic terms, it can be simply written $\{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{P}\}\subset\mathcal{P}$, we will say in that case that $\mathcal{P}$ is a *Lagrangian ideal*.

Similarly, define $\mathcal{P}'$ to be the kernel of the map $i_*:\mathcal{S}'(BM)\to\mathcal{S}'(M)$. This fits into the following diagram where rows are exact.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}(BM) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}(M) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}'(BM) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}'(M) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}(BM) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}(M)
\end{array}$$

Lemma 2.2. *If the complex $0\to\mathcal{P}\to\mathcal{P}'\to\mathcal{P}\to 0$ is exact (for short, if $\mathcal{P}$ is regular) then $\mathcal{P}$ is a Lagrangian ideal.*

Proof. Let f,g be elements of $\mathcal{P}$, by exactness, there exists $f',g'\in S(BM)$ such that $f+\epsilon f', g+\epsilon g'\in\mathcal{P}'$. As $\mathcal{P}'$ is an ideal, the commutator $[f+\epsilon f', g+\epsilon g']=\epsilon\{f,g\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}'$. Being a multiple of ϵ, by the exactness assumption again, we derive $\{f,g\}\in\mathcal{P}$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $\mathcal{P}$ is regular and define a map $\Upsilon : \mathcal{P} \to S(BM)/\mathcal{P}$ in the following way: for $f \in \mathcal{P}$, choose $f' \in S(BM)$ such that $f + \epsilon f' \in \mathcal{P}'$ and set $\Upsilon(f) = f'$. This operator is well-defined and satisfies for any $f \in \mathcal{P}$ and $g \in S(BM)$ the equality*

$$\Upsilon(gf) = g\Upsilon(f) + \frac{1}{2}\{g, f\}.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the fact that for any $g+\epsilon g' \in S'(BM)$ and $f+\epsilon f' \in \mathcal{P}'$ one has $(g+\epsilon g')(f+\epsilon f') \in \mathcal{P}'$ and then $\Upsilon(gf) = g'f + gf' + \frac{1}{2}\{g, f\} = g\Upsilon(f) + \frac{1}{2}\{g, f\}$ mod $\mathcal{P}$. □

We would like to understand this operator in terms of the differential geometry of $X(M)$ and $X(\Sigma)$. Let X_f be the Hamiltonian vector field on Y associated to $f \in \mathcal{P}$. Formally, X_f is the derivation of $S(BM)/\mathcal{P}$ defined by $X_f(g) = \{f, g\}$. Let T be the Reidemeister torsion of M viewed as a rational volume form on $X(M)$ (see [P97] or [M15] Section 4 for formal a construction of this form).

Question 2.4. *Show that for any $f \in \mathcal{P}$, we have the following equality:*

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Upsilon(f) = \frac{L_{X_f}T}{T} = \mathrm{div}_T(X_f). \tag{5}$$

In words, elements of the ideal $\mathcal{P}'$ are obtained by substracting to $f \in \mathcal{P}$ twice the divergence of the Hamiltonian vector field of f with respect to the Reidemeister torsion.

Let us rewrite this equation in local coordinates for concreteness: one can suppose that $(x_1, \ldots, y_n)$ are local Darboux coordinates on $X(\Sigma)$ (i.e. $\omega = \sum_i dx_i \wedge dy_i$) such that Y is given by $y_1 = \ldots = y_n = 0$. Then, the Reidemeister torsion has the form $T = a(x_1, \ldots, x_n)dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$ and one computes for f vanishing on Y:

$$\frac{L_{X_f}T}{T} = \sum_i \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial y_i} + \frac{\{f, a\}}{a}$$

We observe that the two compared operators are order 2 differential operators with the same symbol, hence the difference $D(f) = \Upsilon(f) - L_{X_f}T/T$ is a derivation of $\mathcal{S}(BM)/\mathcal{P}$ - or vector field on Y - that vanishes conjecturally.

2.3. **The quantum A-polynomial at first order.** Let R be the ring $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. We set $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(M) = \mathcal{S}(M, R, t)$. If M is a 3-manifold and BM is a tubular neighborhood of its boundary, we will denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ the kernel of the natural map $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(BM) \to \widehat{\mathcal{S}}(M)$. In the case when M is the complement of a knot in S^3, this ideal has an important interpretation in terms of the colored polynomials of K that we recall quickly below.

Indeed in that case, $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(BM)$ is the sub-algebra of the quantum torus

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]\langle L^{\pm 1}, M^{\pm 1}\rangle/(LM - t^2ML)$$

invariant by the involution $\sigma(L^aM^b) = L^{-a}M^{-b}$. The meridian of the knot corresponds to $-M - M^{-1}$ whereas the longitude corresponds to $-L - L^{-1}$.

The element L acts on a sequence $f : \mathbb{Z} \to R$ by the formula $(Lf)_n = f_{n+1}$ while M acts by $(Mf)_n = t^{2n}f_n$. An easy computation shows that elements in $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ annihilate the sequence $(J_n^K)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of colored Jones polynomials. Moreover, putting $t = -1$, an element of $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ gives an element of $\mathcal{P}$, a simple fact which fully motivates the celebrated AJ-conjecture, see [Le14].

In [CM15a, CM15b], the colored Jones polynomials were packaged into a sequence of holomorphic sections over the standard torus T^2, $\Psi_k \in H^0(T^2, L^k \otimes \delta)$ where L is a pre-quantum bundle and δ a half-form bundle. This sequence were called the *knot state* and were the main ingredient for the proof of the Witten expansion conjecture for the manifolds obtained by Dehn fillings along the figure-eight knot. In that case, it was shown in [CM15b] that the state Ψ_k is a Lagrangian state, concentrating on Y, with phase the Chern-Simons action and amplitude the Reidemeister torsion. It followed from an interpretation of the equation $P(t, L, M)J^K = 0$ as a Toeplitz operator annihilating the knot state Ψ_k. The Reidemeister torsion appeared through a differential equation involving the symbol $\sigma_0(T)$ and the subprincipal symbol $\sigma_1(T)$ of the Toeplitz operator T. Let us expand on this.

Viewing $P(t, L, M)$ as a Toeplitz operator - that is a sequence of multiplication operators by maps having an asymptotic expansion composed with the orthogonal projector on holomorphic sections - lead to define two maps $\sigma_0, \sigma_1 : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{C}[L^{\pm 1}, M^{\pm 1}]$ governing the asymptotics of the operator at zeroth and first order respectively. They satisfy the following relations:

$$\sigma_0(P(t, L, M)) = P(-1, L, M), \quad \sigma_1(M) = \sigma_1(L) = 0,$$
$$\sigma_1(PQ) = \sigma_0(P)\sigma_1(Q) + \sigma_1(P)\sigma_0(Q) + \frac{1}{2i}\{\sigma_0(P), \sigma_1(P)\}.$$

These equations are reminiscent from Equation (4) and immediately imply that if $f + \epsilon f'$ is the reduction modulo ϵ^2 of a polynomial $P \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}(BM) \subset \mathcal{T}$, then $\sigma_0(P) = f$ and $\sigma_1(P) = if'$. Substituting f and f' with σ_0 and σ_1 show immediately that the transport equation of Proposition 5.3 in [CM15b] is equivalent to Equation (5).

3. Examples

Proposition 3.1. *Equation* (5) *holds in the case where* $M = \Sigma \times [0, 1]$ *where* Σ *is a closed surface.*

In that case, BM is homeomorphic to $M \amalg M$ hence $\mathcal{S}'(BM) = \mathcal{S}'(M) \otimes \mathcal{S}'(M)$ and the ideal $\mathcal{P}'$ is clearly generated by elements of the form $\gamma \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \gamma$ for any essential simple curve $\gamma \subset \Sigma$. The restriction map is simply the diagonal embedding $X(M) = X(\Sigma) \to X(\Sigma)^2$ and the corresponding element of $\mathcal{P}'$ reads $F = f \circ p_1 - f \circ p_2$ where p_1 and p_2 are the two projections and f is the trace function corresponding to γ (notice that there are no terms proportional to ϵ). The Reidemeister torsion on M is the Liouville form μ associated to the symplectic form on $X(\Sigma)$, and the Hamiltonian vector field associated to F restricts to the diagonal as X_f. Hence the transport equation amounts to $L_{X_f}\mu = 0$ which is obvious from the fact that any Hamiltonian flow preserves the symplectic and Liouville forms.

Proposition 3.2. *Equation* (5) *holds in the case where* M *is a handlebody.*

Proof. Let $(\gamma_i)_{i \in I}$ be the collection of all essential curves in Σ bounding discs in M. Then the ideal $\mathcal{P}'$ is generated by elements of the form $[\gamma \# \gamma_i] - [\gamma]$ where γ is a multicurve on Σ and $\#$ denotes the connected sum. Expand $[\gamma \# \gamma_i] - [\gamma] = f + \epsilon f'$ so that we need to prove the equation $f' = -2L_{X_f}T/T$.

Let us first compute in detail f'. Let $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a representation, and consider the evaluation of f' at $[\rho]$: it is a sum over all crossings between γ and γ_i as pictured in Figure 2. We denote by α the part of γ from the base point to the



FIGURE 2. Connected sum

intersection point, and by β the remaining part and put $A = \rho(\alpha)$ and $B = \rho(\beta)$ so that $\chi_\rho(\gamma) = -\operatorname{Tr}(BA)$. The contribution to f' of the intersection point in consideration is obtain by taking the difference of the two resolutions of the blue crossing, that is $\operatorname{Tr} A \operatorname{Tr} B + \operatorname{Tr} B^{-1}A = \operatorname{Tr} AB + 2\operatorname{Tr} AB^{-1}$. Notice that we would have get the opposite if γ had crossed γ_i in the opposite way.

Let us now compute the divergence of the vector field X_f. Suppose that ρ is irreducible. It is well-known that the tangent space at $[\rho]$ of the character variety $X(\Sigma)$ is the space $H^1(\Sigma, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho)$. Let $f_\gamma : X(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{C}$ be the trace function defined by $f_\gamma([\rho]) = \operatorname{Tr}\rho(\gamma)$. Its derivative at $[\rho]$ is given by the twisted 1-cycle $\gamma \otimes \rho(\gamma)_0$ where for any $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ we have set $A_0 = A - \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(A)}{2}\mathrm{Id}$. From the expression $f = -f_{\gamma\#\gamma_i} + f_\gamma$, we have $df([\rho]) = -\gamma\#\gamma_i \otimes \rho(\gamma\#\gamma_i)_0 + \gamma \otimes \rho(\gamma)_0$. If ρ extends to $\pi_1(M)$, then $\rho(\gamma_i) = \mathrm{Id}$. Decomposing the cycle $\gamma\#\gamma_i$, we get finally $df([\rho]) = -\gamma_i \otimes \rho(\gamma)_0$.

The vector field X_f corresponding to this differential form on $X(M)$ can be interpreted similarly to the Goldman twist flows as follows. Pick a cellular decomposition of Σ containing γ_i and a 1-cocyle on this decomposition representing ρ. Then thicken γ_i and insert a small transversal edge denoted by e. We define a 1-cocycle ρ_t by taking the same cocycle than ρ but setting $\rho_t(e) = \exp(t\rho(\gamma)_0)$. Then the derivative $X_f = \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0}\rho_t$ is the symplectic dual to $\gamma_i \otimes \rho(\gamma)_0$.

Recall that $\pi_1(H)$ is a free group, say of rank g, so that its character variety is $X(H) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})^g /\!\!/ \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. The Reidemeister torsion on $X(H)$ is the push-forward of the Haar measure μ on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})^g$ with a normalization which does not matter in this proof.

Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ and left-invariant measure μ. In the left trivialization, a vector field X on G may be viewed as a map $F : G \to \mathcal{G}$: in formula, $X(g) = \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} e^{tF(g)}g$. As μ is invariant by left translations, we get for any function f and left invariant vector field ξ the equation $L_{f\xi}\mu = df \wedge i_\xi\mu + fL_\xi\mu = df \wedge i_\xi\mu$ from which we get $\operatorname{div}_\mu(X) = \operatorname{Tr}(DF)$ by decomposing F on a basis of $\mathcal{G}$. In this last formula, we used again the left trivialization to see DF as an endomorphism of $\mathcal{G}$.

Let us apply this fact to compute the divergence $\operatorname{div}_\mu(X_f)$: write γ as a word w in the generators $t_1, \dots, t_g$ of $\pi_1(H)$. The vector field X_f corresponds the map $F : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})^g \to$

$\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})^g$ given by $F(A_1,\dots,A_g) = (w(A_1,\dots,A_g)_0, 0, \dots, 0)$. Hence $\mathrm{div}_\mu(X_f)$ is the divergence of the map $F_1 : A_1 \mapsto w(A_1,\dots,A_g)_0$. The derivative of F_1 is a sum over the occurrences of t_1 in w, one for each intersection of γ and γ_i.

For an occurrence of the form UA_1V, the contribution to the derivative is $\xi \mapsto (U\xi A_1 V)_0$ and its trace is $\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(UA_1V) + \operatorname{Tr}(UV^{-1}A_1^{-1})$. Writing $A = UA_1$ and $B = V$, we get the same as half the contribution of f'. For an occurence of the form $UA_1^{-1}V$, the contribution of the derivative is $\xi \mapsto -(UA_1^{-1}\xi V)_0$ and its trace is $-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(UA_1^{-1}V) - \operatorname{Tr}(UA_1^{-1}V^{-1})$. Writing $A = UA_1^{-1}$ and $B = V$, we get opposite contribution. Observing that this last case correspond to the one pictured in Figure 2, we finally get the claimed formula.

□

4. Twisted self-linking

4.1. **Definition of the twisted self-linking.** Let M be a connected 3-manifold and $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a representation. We denote by Ad_ρ the adjoint representation on $\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and by Bil_ρ the space of bilinear forms on $\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ viewed as a $\pi_1(M)$-bi-module via the action

$$(\gamma,\delta).b(\xi,\eta) = b(\rho(\gamma)^{-1}\xi\rho(\gamma), \rho(\delta)^{-1}\eta\rho(\delta)).$$

We may think of Ad_ρ and Bil_ρ as flat bundles over M and $M \times M$ respectively.

If X is a topological space equipped with a flat bundle $E \to X$, whenever we have a triple (N, f, s) where N is a k-manifold with boundary, $f : N \to X$ is a continuous map and s is a flat section of f^*E, it defines a twisted chain in $C_k(X, E)$. It is a cycle if N is closed, we will use freely this way of constructing twisted cycles as in the following example.

Given a map $\gamma : S^1 \to M$ we define a cycle z_γ in $Z_1(M, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho^*)$ as the triple (S^1, γ, s) where $s(x)$ is the linear form on the fiber $(\mathrm{Ad}_\rho)_{\gamma(x)}$ which maps ξ to $\operatorname{Tr}(\xi A)$ where A is the holonomy of Ad_ρ along γ starting from $\gamma(x)$. This cycle represents geometrically the first derivative of the trace function f_γ: we wrote it more concisely as $\gamma \otimes \rho(\gamma)_0$ in the proof of Proposition 3.2.

We construct below a twisted 2-chain representing the second derivative of f_γ: as in differential geometry the Hessian is a well-defined quadratic form only if the first derivative vanishes, the 2-chain we construct will not be closed in general.

We define C_2S^1 as the product $S^1 \times S^1$ blown-up along the diagonal. That is, a point $(x, y) \in C_2S^1$ is either a pair with $x \neq y$, a pair of the form (x_-, x_+) or a pair of the form (x_+, x_-) where x_- lies before x_+ on the circle. Observe that C_2S^1 is an annulus whose boundary is made of two copies the diagonal $S^1 \subset S^1 \times S^1$.

Let $\gamma : S^1 \times [-1, 1] \to M$ be an embedding. We set $\gamma^+(x) = \gamma(x, 1), \gamma^-(x) = \gamma(x, -1)$ and $\gamma^0(x) = \gamma(x, 0)$. Let us define the 2-chain $z^2_\gamma \in C_2(M^2 \setminus \Delta, \mathrm{Bil}_\rho)$ as the triple $(C_2S^1, \gamma^- \times \gamma^+, s)$ where for $x \neq y$, $s(x, y)$ is the following bilinear form on $(\mathrm{Ad}_\rho)_{\gamma^-(x)} \times (\mathrm{Ad}_\rho)_{\gamma^+(y)}$:

$$(\xi, \eta) \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(\xi A \eta B).$$

In this formula, A (resp. B) is the holonomy of ρ along γ from $\gamma^0(x)$ to $\gamma^0(y)$ (resp. from $\gamma^0(y)$ to $\gamma^0(x)$), noticing that we identified the fibers of Ad_ρ along the path $t \mapsto \gamma(x, t)$.

This cycle is not closed and we find that ∂z^2_γ is the difference $(S^1, \beta^+, s^+) - (S^1, \beta^-, s^-)$ where $\beta^+(x) = (\gamma^+(x), \gamma^-(x))$ and $s^+(x) : \xi \otimes \eta \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(\xi\eta A_x)$ while $\beta^-(x) = (\gamma^-(x), \gamma^+(x))$ and $s^-(x) : \xi \otimes \eta \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(\eta\xi A_x)$.

Let U be a tubular neighborhood of the diagonal Δ in M^2 such that for all $x \in S^1$ and $s, t \in [-1, 1]$, $(\gamma(x, s), \gamma(x, t)) \in U$. The two maps (γ^+, γ^-) and (γ^-, γ^+) are homotopic in U so that ∂z_γ^2 is homologous to the cycle $(S^1, \gamma^- \times \gamma^+, \xi \otimes \eta \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}([\xi, \eta]A_x)$ in the same notation as before.

The above bilinear form is antisymmetric: we denote by $\Lambda^2 \operatorname{Ad}_\rho^*$ this coefficient system over U. We summarize the preceding discussion by writing $\partial z_\gamma^2 \in Z_1(U \setminus \Delta, \Lambda^2 \operatorname{Ad}_\rho^*)$. In order to make z_γ^2 into a cycle, we form the following complex:

$$\tilde{C}_*(M, \rho) = C_*(M^2 \setminus \Delta, \mathrm{Bil}_\rho)/C_*(U \setminus \Delta, \Lambda^2 \operatorname{Ad}_\rho^*).$$

We will denote by $\mathcal{H}(M, \rho)$ the space $H_2(\tilde{C}_*(M, \rho))$. The 2-cycle $z_\gamma^2 \in \mathcal{H}(M, \rho)$ will be interpreted at the same time as the second derivative of f_γ at ρ and the self-linking of γ with coefficients in Ad_ρ.

4.2. **Geometric interpretations of the self-linking.** The self-linking interpretation should be clear from the following remark: if L_1 and L_2 are two disjoint knots in $\mathbb{R}^3$, the product $L_1 \times L_2$ make sense as a 2-cycle in $H_2((\mathbb{R}^3)^2 \setminus \Delta, \mathbb{Z})$. Let u be the 2-cycle given by $\{0\} \times S^2$: it is a generator of $H_2((\mathbb{R}^3)^2 \setminus \Delta, \mathbb{Z})$ and one has $L_1 \times L_2 = \mathrm{Lk}(L_1, L_2)u$. If L_1 and L_2 are the two boundary components of a banded knot L, we get the self-linking number of L. The space $\mathcal{H}(M, \rho)$ is reminiscent of this construction if we replace $\mathbb{R}^3$ with a 3-manifold M and twist the coefficients by ρ.

Let us interpret z_γ^2 as the second derivative of f_γ: suppose that $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is obtained as the holonomy of a 1-form $a \in \Omega^1(M, \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}))$. Explicitly, the holonomy of a along a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \to M$ may be computed with the following formula:

$$\operatorname{Tr} \operatorname{Hol}_\gamma a = \sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < 1} \mathrm{Tr}(\gamma^* a(t_1) \cdots \gamma^* a(t_n)).$$

This gives $D_a \operatorname{Tr} \operatorname{Hol}_\gamma(b) = \int_\gamma \operatorname{Tr} b \operatorname{Hol}_\gamma(a) = \langle z_\gamma, b \rangle$. In the same way we have

$$\frac{1}{2} D_a^2 \operatorname{Tr} \operatorname{Hol}_\gamma(b_1, b_2) = \int_{S^1 \times S^1} \mathrm{Tr}(b_1 \operatorname{Hol}_{x \to y}(\gamma^* a) b_2 \operatorname{Hol}_{y \to x}(\gamma^* a)) = \langle z_\gamma^2, b_1 \times b_2 \rangle.$$

This formula was indeed the starting point for defining the cycle z_γ^2. The fact that this second derivative should play a role in the derived skein module comes from the path integral as explained in Subsection 2.1.

4.3. **Relation with the derived skein module.** Given an embedding $i : D^3 \to M$ and ϕ any bilinear map on the fiber of $(\mathrm{Ad}_\rho)_{i(0)}$ - that we can view as a flat section of $i^* \mathrm{Bil}_\rho$ - we set $u \otimes \phi = (S^2, i|_{S^2}, \phi) \in \mathcal{H}(M, \rho)$. This class only depend on ϕ. We will need the following properties of elements in $\mathcal{H}(M, \rho)$.

Proposition 4.1. (1) *Let $F : S^1 \times [-1, 1] \times [0, 1] \to M$ is a 1-parameter family of embeddings where we write $F_s(x, t) = F(x, t, s)$.*

The map F can be viewed as a chain in $C_3(M^2, \mathrm{Bil}_\rho)/C_*(U \setminus \Delta, \Lambda^2 \operatorname{Ad}_\rho^*)$ such that $\partial F = z_{F_1}^2 - z_{F_0}^2$. It will be chain in $\tilde{C}_3(M, \rho)$ if moreover one has $F(x, 1, s) \neq F(y, -1, t)$ for all $x, y \in S^1$ and $s, t \in [-1, 1]$.

(2) *Let $\alpha, \beta : S^1 \times [0, 1] \to M$ be two embeddings satisfying $\alpha(x, t) = \beta(y, s) \iff x = y$ and $s = t$. Then one can define the composition $\alpha\beta : S^1 \times [0, 1] \to M$ and the following identity holds in $\mathcal{H}(M, \rho)$:*

$$z^2_{\alpha\beta} + z^2_{\alpha\beta^{-1}} = \operatorname{Tr}\rho(\beta) z^2_\alpha + z^-_\alpha \times z^+_\beta + z^-_\beta \times z^+_\alpha + \operatorname{Tr}\rho(\alpha) z^2_\beta.$$

(3) *Observe that there is a unique* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$*-equivariant projection* π *from* Bil_ρ *to the space of symmetric invariant bilinear forms (or Killing forms). If* ρ *is Zariski-dense, then* $u \otimes \phi = u \otimes \pi(\phi)$ *in* $\mathcal{H}(M, \rho)$.

Proof. The first point is clear as one can repeat the construction of the the chain z^2_γ by adding the parameter s. The condition of non-intersection ensures that this cycle takes values in $M^2 \setminus \Delta$, proving the first part.

The second formula is formally the second derivative of the trace identity $f_{\alpha\beta} + f_{\alpha\beta^{-1}} = f_\alpha f_\beta$. We first observe that the composition $\alpha\beta$ is no longer an embedding. It does not prevent from defining $z^2_{\alpha\beta}$ as one still has $\alpha\beta(x, 1) \neq \alpha\beta(y, -1)$ for all $x, y \in S^1$. The second observation is that if we reverse the orientation of a component, then the cycle get multiplied by -1. As a convention, we will associate an element $\xi \in (\mathrm{Ad}_\rho)_{\gamma(t)}$ with a local orientation of γ at t. Reversing this orientation amounts in changing ξ with $-\xi$. This will allow us to glue knots with incompatible orientations.

Cut S^1 into the two halves $S^1_\pm = \{z \in S^1, \pm \operatorname{Im}(z) \geq 0\}$: then we have the decomposition $C_2S^1 = C_2S^1_+ \cup C_2S^1_- \cup S^1_+ \times S^1_- \cup S^1_- \times S^1_+$ to which correspond a linear decomposition of $z_{\alpha\beta}$ and $z_{\alpha\beta^{-1}}$. We check the identity of the lemma by looking at the restriction to each piece.



FIGURE 3. Composition formula

With the notation of the left part of Figure 3, if $x \in S^1_+$ and $y \in S^1_-$, the bilinear form associated to $z^2_{\alpha\beta}$ is $\operatorname{Tr}(B_2\eta B_1 A_2 \xi A_1)$ while the one associated to $z^2_{\alpha\beta^{-1}}$ gives $-\operatorname{Tr}(B_1^{-1}\eta B_2^{-1} A_2 \xi A_1)$. Introduce the notation $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$ so that we have $\xi^* = -\xi$ for $\xi \in \mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $A^* = A^{-1}$ for $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. The contribution of $z^2_{\alpha\beta} + z^2_{\alpha\beta^{-1}}$ is $\operatorname{Tr}(BA) + \operatorname{Tr}(B^*A)$ where $A = A_2\xi A_1$ and $B = B_2\eta B_1$. From the identity $B + B^* = \operatorname{Tr}(B)\,\mathrm{Id}$, we get $\operatorname{Tr}(A)\operatorname{Tr}(B)$ and recognize the bilinear form associated to the product $z^-_\alpha \times z^+_\beta$. We obtain in the same way the term $z^-_\beta \times z^+_\alpha$.

If $x, y \in S^1_+$ are as in the right side of Figure 3, the contribution of $z^2_{\alpha\beta} + z^2_{\alpha\beta^{-1}}$ is $\operatorname{Tr}(BA_3\eta A_2\xi A_1) + \operatorname{Tr}(B^{-1}A_3\eta A_2\xi A_1) = \operatorname{Tr}(B)\operatorname{Tr}(A_3\eta A_2\xi A_1)$. This corresponds to $\operatorname{Tr}\rho(\beta) z^2_\alpha$ as expected, the last term is treated in the same way.

For the third point, we observe that it is sufficient to show that if $\pi(\phi) = 0$ in $H_2(M^2 \setminus \Delta, \mathrm{Bil}_\rho)$ then $u \otimes \phi = 0$. Replace for this proof M by its interior: the exact sequence of the pair $(M^2, M^2 \setminus \Delta)$ and the excision property give

$$H_3(U, \partial U; \mathrm{Bil}_\rho) \to H_2(M^2 \setminus \Delta, \mathrm{Bil}_\rho) \to H_2(M^2, \mathrm{Bil}_\rho) \to H_2(U, \partial U, \mathrm{Bil}_\rho).$$

As M has a trivial tangent bundle, U is diffeomorphic to $M \times D^3$ and hence by Künneth formula : $H_*(U, \partial U, \mathrm{Bil}_\rho) = H_*(M, \mathrm{Bil}_\rho) \otimes H_*(D^3, \partial D^3)$. This implies that $H_2(U, \partial U, \mathrm{Bil}_\rho) =$

0 and $H_3(U, \partial U, \mathrm{Bil}_\rho) = H_0(M, \mathrm{Ad}^*_\rho \otimes \mathrm{Ad}^*_\rho)$. Using Clebsch-Gordan rule, we have the following decomposition $\mathrm{Ad}^*_\rho \otimes \mathrm{Ad}^*_\rho \simeq \mathbb{C} \oplus S^2 V_\rho \oplus S^4 V_\rho$ where V_ρ denotes the space $\mathbb{C}^2$ viewed as a $\pi_1(M)$-volume. We observe that π corresponds to the projection on the first factor. By Zariski density, all representations $S^n V_\rho$ are irreducible, hence we get $H_0(M, \mathrm{Ad}^*_\rho \otimes \mathrm{Ad}^*_\rho) = H_0(M, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}$. By assumption, $\pi(\phi) = 0$ and hence its projection on $H_0(M, \mathbb{C})$ vanishes, which shows the lemma.

□

We will normalize the Killing form by the formula $K(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{6}\operatorname{Tr}(\xi\eta)$. The projection π can be computed by the formula $\pi(\phi) = Q(\phi)K$ where $Q(\phi) = \phi(H, H) + 2\phi(E, F) + 2\phi(F, E)$ in the standard basis H, E, F of $\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let M be a 3-manifold and $\rho : \Gamma \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a Zariski-dense representation. The following map $\Phi : S'(M) \to \mathcal{H}(M, \rho)$ is well-defined:*

$$\Phi((a + \epsilon b)[L]) = a(-1)^{\#L} z(L) + b\chi_\rho(L)u \otimes K$$

where $L = \coprod_{i=1}^n L_i$ and

$$z(L) = \sum_i z^2(L_i) \prod_{j \neq i} \operatorname{Tr} \rho(L_j) + \sum_{i \neq j} z^-(L_i) \times z^+(L_j) \prod_{k \notin \{i,j\}} \operatorname{Tr} \rho(L_k)$$

Proof. From now on, we remove $u \otimes K$ from our notation and think of it as a "unit". We check immediately that the map $\chi_\rho + \epsilon\Phi : \mathcal{S}'(M) \to \mathbb{C} \oplus \epsilon\mathcal{H}'(M)$ is a morphism of $\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$-modules.

Let L be a banded link and U be an unknot disjoint from L. The Kauffman relation implies $\Phi([L \cup U]) = -2\Phi([L])$ as $-t^2 - t^2 = -2 \mod \epsilon^2$ hence we need to show the identity $z(L \cup U) = 2z(L)$. This comes directly from the fact that $\operatorname{Tr} \rho(U) = 2$ and $z_U^2 = z_U^\pm = 0$ in $\mathcal{H}(M, \rho)$.

Let $L_\times, L_0, L_\infty$ be three links which form a Kauffman relation $[L_\times] = t[L_0] + t^{-1}[L_\infty]$. As in Equation (2), we have to show the relation:

$$(-1)^{\#L_\times} z(L_\times) + (-1)^{\#L_0} z(L_0) + (-1)^{\#L_\infty} z(L_\infty) = \chi_\rho(L_0) - \chi_\rho(L_\infty).$$

We have two cases to consider: in the first case, the strands are connected as in the picture which represents simultaneously the three links $L_\times, L_0$ and L_∞.



FIGURE 4. Cobordisms for Kauffman relations

Set $X = (-1)^{\#L_\times} z(L_\times) + (-1)^{\#L_0} z(L_0) + (-1)^{\#L_\infty} z(L_\infty)$ and let $F^\times, F^0$ and F^∞ be the deformations of $L_\times, L_0$ and L_∞ shown in Figure 4. As stated in the first point of Proposition 4.1, to each deformation F from L to L' correspond to a 3-chain denoted by $z^2(F)$ so that $\partial z^2(F) = z^2(L') - z^2(L)$. The new links $L'_\times, L'_0$ and L'_∞ are just as in the second point of Proposition 4.1. Hence, checking the signs, we get $(-1)^{\#L_\times} z(L'_\times) + (-1)^{\#L_0} z(L'_0) + (-1)^{\#L_\infty} z(L'_\infty) = 0$ so that we have $-X = \partial z^2(F_\times) + \partial z^2(F_0) + \partial z^2(F_\infty)$. If the deformation F satisfied the equation $F(x,1,s) \neq F(y,1,t)$ for all $x, y \in S^1$ and $t, s \in [0,1]$, then $z_2(F)$ would be a chain in $C_3(M^2 \setminus \Delta, \mathrm{Bil}_\rho)$ and hence we would have $z^2(L) = z^2(L')$ in $\mathcal{H}(M,\rho)$. This is the case of F_0 and F_∞. We observe that for $F_\times$, this condition fails for one precise set of parameters. By cutting out a small ball around that point, we see that $z^2(L_\times) - z^2(L'_\times) = u \otimes \phi$ where $\phi(\xi,\eta) = \mathrm{Tr}(B\eta A\xi)$ with $A = \rho(\alpha)$ and $B = \rho(\beta)$. Hence $X = Q(\phi) = \mathrm{Tr}(A)\,\mathrm{Tr}(B) + \mathrm{Tr}(AB^{-1}) = \chi_\rho(L_0) - \chi_\rho(L_\infty)$.

In the second case, the links are presented on the right hand side of the figure as $L''_\times, L''_\infty$ and L''_0. We need to show the identity $z(L''_0) + z(L''_\infty) - z(L''_\times) - \chi_\rho(L''_0) + \chi_\rho(L''_\infty) = 0$. Using the same surfaces and the same argument, we find that $z(L''_0) + z(L''_\infty) - z(L''_\times) = -Q(\phi)$ where $\phi(\xi,\eta) = \mathrm{Tr}(A\xi)\,\mathrm{Tr}(B^{-1}\eta)$. A computation gives $Q(\phi) = \mathrm{Tr}(AB^{-1}) - \mathrm{Tr}(AB) = -\chi_\rho(L''_\infty) + \chi_\rho(L''_0)$. This ends the proof of the proposition. □

4.4. **Properties of the space $\mathcal{H}(M,\rho)$.** Let M be any 3-manifold with $\partial M = \coprod_i \Sigma_i$. We suppose that no component Σ_i is a sphere and set $d = \sum_i \max(1, 3g(\Sigma_i) - 3)$. This number is the minimal (and expected) dimension of the character variety $X(M)$. Let $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that

(1) ρ has Zariski-dense image.
(2) $H^1(M, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho)$ has dimension d.

Observe that the Γ-module $\Lambda^2 \mathrm{Ad}^*_\rho$ is isomorphic to Ad_ρ via the map $X \mapsto ((\xi,\eta) \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}([\xi,\eta]X))$. Hence, we have the following isomorphisms - using again the fact that the tangent bundle of a 3-manifold is always trivializable.

$$H_*(U \setminus \Delta, \Lambda^2 \mathrm{Ad}^*_\rho) = H_*(U \setminus \Delta, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho) = H_*(M \times S^2, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho) = H_*(M, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho) \otimes H_*(S^2).$$

By excision, we have $H_*(M^2, M^2 \setminus \Delta, \mathrm{Bil}_\rho) = H_{*-3}(M, \mathrm{Ad}^*_\rho \otimes \mathrm{Ad}^*_\rho)$ and the exact sequence of the pair $(M^2, M^2 \setminus \Delta)$ gives the following sequence where the space $\mathbb{C}$ is generated by $u \otimes K$.

$$H_3(M^2, \mathrm{Bil}_\rho) \xrightarrow{I} \mathbb{C} \to H_2(M^2 \setminus \Delta, \mathrm{Bil}_\rho) \to H_2(M^2, \mathrm{Bil}_\rho) \to 0.$$

The map I has a very natural interpretation: by Künneth formula and identifying Ad_ρ with Ad^*_ρ using the trace, we have $H_3(M^2, \mathrm{Bil}_\rho) = H_1(M, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho) \otimes H_2(M, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho) \oplus H_2(M, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho) \otimes H_1(M, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho)$ and the map I is simply the intersection followed by the trace. With the assumption on the dimension of $H^1(M, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho)$, we know from the exact sequence of the pair $(M, \partial M)$ that the map $H_1(\partial M, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho) \to H_1(M, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho)$ is surjective (see for instance [M15] Proposition 4.4), hence the intersection form I vanishes.

The construction of $\tilde{C}_*(M,\rho)$ as a quotient of two complexes and the previous computations give the following long exact sequence:

$$H_2(M, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_2(M^2 \setminus \Delta, \mathrm{Bil}_\rho) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(M,\rho) \to H_1(M, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho) \to 0$$

Let us prove that the map α vanishes under our hypothesis. As above, from the exact sequence of the pair $(M, \partial M)$ we get that the map $H_2(\partial M, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho) \to H_2(M, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho)$ is an isomorphism. In particular each toric component of ∂M gives a generator of $H_2(M, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho)$.

Let T be a torus component of ∂M and T' a parallel copy of T pushed into M. One can suppose that the representation ρ restricted to T is diagonal and satisfies $H_0(T, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho) \simeq H_2(T, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho) = \mathbb{C}$ and $H_1(T, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho)$ has dimension 2. We denote by H the usual diagonal matrix with entries 1 and -1.

The generator $[T] \otimes H$ of $H_2(M, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho)$ is mapped by α to the cycle (T, i, s) where $i(x) = (x, x')$ with $x \in T$ and x' parallel to x in T' and $s(x) : \xi \otimes \eta \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}([\xi, \eta]H) = \mathrm{Tr}(\xi[H, \eta])$. In Künneth isomorphism, this cycle is written $\sum_k \sum_i a_i^k \otimes \mathrm{Ad}_H(a_i^k)^\#$ where $(a_i^k)_i$ is a basis of $H_k(T, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho)$ and $(a_i^k)^\#$ is its Poincaré dual basis. But all basis vectors in $H_*(T, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho)$ can be chosen proportional to H, and hence will be killed by Ad_H, this proves that α vanishes. We observe that the map α is dual to the cup-bracket operation which vanishes in our context.

Remark 4.3. From these considerations, the space $\mathcal{H}(M, \rho)$ looks like the target space for a 2-jet over the character variety. This is expected from the interpretation of z_γ^2 as a second derivative, however, we could not give a precise meaning to this observation.

4.5. **Applications.** In the case when M is closed the situation is much simpler. Suppose that $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a Zariski-dense representation satisfying $H^1(M, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho) = 0$, then $u \otimes K$ is a generator of $\mathcal{H}(M, \rho)$ and hence one can define a $\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$-linear map $\mathcal{S}'(M) \to \mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$ extending the character χ_ρ. The existence of this maps proves the regularity of the skein module $\mathcal{S}'(M)$ in the sense of Question 2.1 (at least locally at ρ) but more importantly, it gives the geometric interpretation of the first-order term in Witten's expansion formula as explained in Subsection 2.1.

Now, let M be a 3-manifold with non-empty boundary and let $f + \epsilon f'$ be an element of $\mathcal{P}'$. This implies that for any $\rho : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 4.4, $f' + \Phi(f) = 0$ in $\mathcal{H}(M, \rho)$. The image of $\Phi(f)$ in $H_1(M, \mathrm{Ad}_\rho)$ vanishes because it corresponds to df and f belongs to $\mathcal{P}$ and hence vanishes on $X(M)$. We would answer Question 2.4 if we were able to show the formula $\Phi(f) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{div}_T(X_f)$.

References

[BHMV95] C. Blanchet, N. Habegger, G. Masbaum and P. Vogel. Three-manifold invariants derived from the Kauffman bracket. *Topology*, **31**, (1992), 685-699.

[BFK-B] D. Bullock, C. Frohman and J. Kania-Bartoszynska. Understanding the Kauffman bracket skein module. *Journal of knot theory and its ramifications*, **8** (1999), no. 3, 265-277.

[C11] L. Charles Torus knot state asymptotics arXiv:1107.4692 [math.GT]

[CM15a] L. Charles and J. Marché. Knot state asymptotics I: AJ conjecture and Abelian representations. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **121** (2015), 279-322.

[CM15b] L. Charles and J. Marché. Knot state asymptotics II: Witten conjecture and irreducible representations. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **121** (2015), 323-361.

[FGL02] C. Frohman, R. Gelca and W. Lofaro. The A-polynomial from the non-commutative viewpoint. *Trans. Amer. Math.Soc.* **354** (2002), no. 2, 735-747.

[GL11] S. Garoufalidis and T. Q. T. Lê. Asymptotics of the colored Jones function of a knot, *Geom. Topol.* **15** (2011), no. 4, 2135-2180.

[GM08] S. Gukov and H. Murakami. SL(2,C) Chern-Simons theory and the asymptotic behavior of the colored Jones polynomial, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **86** (2008), no. 2-3, 79-98.

[HP92] J. Hoste J. and J. H. Przytycki. A survey of skein module of 3-manifolds *Knots 90* De Gruyter, Berlin (1992), 363-379.

[Le14] T. Q. T. Lê. The colored Jones polynomial and the AJ conjecture. Lecture notes from "Etats de la Recherche : Geometric and quantum topology in dimension 3" at the CIRM in Luminy, July 2014.

[M15] J.Marché. Character varieties in SL_2 and skein algebras. Lecture notes from "Topology, Geometry and Algebra of Low-Dimensional Manifolds" in Numazu, June 2015.

[P97] J. Porti Torsion de Reidemeister pour les varits hyperboliques. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (1997), no. 612, 139 pp.

[Tu91] Turaev V. G. Skein quantization of Poisson algebras of loops on surfaces. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* **24** (4) (1991), 635-704.

[W89] E. Witten. Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **121**, no. 3, (1989), 351-399.

Institut de Mathématiques, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 75252 Paris cédex 05, France

E-mail address: julien.marche@imj-prg.fr